## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Michigan

United States of America	)	
v.	) )	C N 01 2057
JAMAR LEE-STINSON	)	Case No. 21-30574
Defendant	_ )	

	Case No. 21-30574
JAMAR LEE-STINSON	)
Defendant	)
ORDER OF DETENTION	ON PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligibilit	y for Detention
Upon the	
✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant	to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
Motion of the Government or Court's own me	otion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in	
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law a	as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. presumption that no condition or combination of condition and the community because the following conditions have	ons will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following	owing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
	S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(b) an offense for which the maximum sent	ence is life imprisonment or death; or
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 80	of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 01-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); <b>or</b>
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or	ricted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs more State or local offenses that would have been offenses of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal f such offenses; or
	of violence but involves: irearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
<del></del>	of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. ould have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above committed while the defendant was on release pe	for which the defendant has been convicted was ending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
(4) a period of not more than five years has elaps defendant from imprisonment, for the offense de	sed since the date of conviction, or the release of the scribed in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

Page 1 of 3

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.  OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
<ul> <li>✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong</li> <li>✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong</li> <li>✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted</li> <li>✓ Prior criminal history</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong</li> <li>✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted</li> <li>✓ Prior criminal history</li> <li>✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong</li> <li>✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted</li> <li>✓ Prior criminal history</li> <li>✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision</li> <li>✓ History of violence or use of weapons</li> <li>✓ History of alcohol or substance abuse</li> <li>Lack of stable employment</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong</li> <li>✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted</li> <li>✓ Prior criminal history</li> <li>✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision</li> <li>✓ History of violence or use of weapons</li> <li>✓ History of alcohol or substance abuse</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong</li> <li>✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted</li> <li>✓ Prior criminal history</li> <li>✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision</li> <li>✓ History of violence or use of weapons</li> <li>✓ History of alcohol or substance abuse</li> <li>Lack of stable employment</li> </ul>

## Case 2:21-mj-30574-DUTY ECF No. 9, PageID.22 Filed 12/07/21 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 09/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

The Court's findings and reasons for ordering detention, including its consideration of the factors listed in 42 U.S.C. § 3142(g), were stated on the record at the December 7, 2021 hearing and are fully incorporated by this reference. The Court finds by clear and convincing evidence that there is no condition or combination of conditions which will reasonably assure the safety of the community. This evidence was discussed on the record in support of the Court's reasoning, and includes, but is not limited to evidence that Defendant: (1) on September 30, 2021, pleaded guilty in state court to carrying a concealed weapon; (2) about five weeks later, while awaiting sentencing, was a main perpetator of an unprovoked, ambush-style shooting at a gas station, in which 30 rounds were fired from the car in which he was traveling, many by him, with video depicting him laying in wait for his targets; (3) six days after the gas station shooting, was involved in a car-jacking at which 46 spent rounds, many linked to a gun later found in his possession, were found; (4) about three weeks after being sentenced in state court, during the execution of a search warrant, was found in possession of two guns, including a Glock 9mm pistol, an AR-15 rifle (the latter of which he claimed to be his uncle's, but which he admitted to handling himself), and a .40 caliber extended magazine. The Court is convinced that Defendant will not adide by any conditions of bond that could be set and that he poses a very serious and lethal danger to society.

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: December 7, 2021

Anthony P. Patti, U.S. Magistrate Judge

Name and Title